

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/769,881	01/25/2001	Michael M. Kearney	2402-4283.1US	5490	
75	90 08/28/2002				
Laurene B. Bond TRASK BRITT P.O. BOX 2550			EXAMINER		
			CINTINS, IVARS C		
Salt Lake City,	UT 84110		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1724	Я	
			DATE MAILED: 08/28/200	<i>b</i>	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/769,881

Applicant(s)

Kearney et al.

Examiner

**Ivars Cintins** 

Art Unit 1724

7	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears o	n the cover she	et with t	the correspondence address		
Period for R	teply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.						
- Extensions of	f time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no					
<ul> <li>If the period f</li> <li>If NO period f</li> <li>Failure to repl</li> <li>Any reply rec</li> </ul>	or this communication. for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply an ply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ceived by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of thi at term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nd will expire SIX (6) N e application to becom	MONTHS fro ne ABANDOI	om the mailing date of this communication.  NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
1) Res	sponsive to communication(s) filed on			·		
2a) 🗌 This	s action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) 💢 This action	on is non-final.				
clos	ce this application is in condition for allowance ex sed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex par</i>	xcept for form te Quayle, 193	al matte 35 C.D.	rs, prosecution as to the merits is 11; 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition						
4) 💢 Clai	im(s) <u>1-15</u>			is/are pending in the application.		
4a) O	Of the above, claim(s)			is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
	im(s)					
	im(s) <u>1-15</u>					
	im(s)					
	ims					
Application						
9) 🗆 The	e specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)□ The	e drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) 🗆 accepted	d or b)	$\square$ objected to by the Examiner.		
Ap	oplicant may not request that any objection to the dr	rawing(s) be hel	ld in abey	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11) The	e proposed drawing correction filed on	is:	a) 🗌 a	pproved b) $\square$ disapproved by the Examiner.		
	approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to					
12) The	e oath or declaration is objected to by the Examir	ner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) 🗌 Acl	13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) 🗌 A	All b)□ Some* c)□ None of:					
1. 🗆						
2. 🗆	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.					
3. □ *See #	Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Burea he attached detailed Office action for a list of the	au (PCT Rule 1)	7.2(a)).			
	knowledgement is made of a claim for domestic The translation of the foreign language provisional					
	ne translation of the foreign language provisional knowledgement is made of a claim for domestic					
Attachment(s		processing and or				
	of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sur	nmary (PTO	0-413) Paper No(s)		
	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Info	ormal Patent	t Application (PTO-152)		
3) X Informat	tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)5	6) Other:				

Serial Number: 09/769,881 Page 2

Art Unit: 1724

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gelman et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,025,438) or Grosshandler (U.S. Patent No. 4,059,528). Each of the references discloses a system comprising a distributor, a bed of processing material, and a collector, wherein the bed of processing material has a diameter greater than its height. Each reference system also includes a distributor and a collector having "fractal elements" (see Figs. 2 and 3 of Gelman et al.; and Fig. 1 of Grosshandler); and this is all that is required by claim 1.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

<sup>(</sup>a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Serial Number: 09/769,881 Page 3

Art Unit: 1724

Claims 2-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gelman et al. or Grosshandler. Each of the references discloses the claimed invention with the exception of the ratio of diameter to height of the bed (claims 2 and 10), the density of distribution exits (claims 3, 4 and 7-15), the pressure drop across the bed (claims 5 and 11), the amount of treatment units employed (claims 6 and 12), and the shape of the fractal elements employed (claim 15). However, the exact ratio of diameter to height of the bed, the exact density of distribution exits, the exact pressure drop across the bed, and the exact shape of the fractal elements employed are not seen to materially affect the overall operation of either reference device, or to produce any new and unexpected result; and are therefore deemed to be obvious matters of choice in design, insufficient to patentably distinguish the claims. Also, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ a plurality of treatments units in the system of either Gelman et al. or Grosshandler, in order to increase the treatment capacity of these reference systems.

Brown (U.S. Patent No. 4,673,507) and Chinn (U.S. Patent No. 5,626,750) disclose similar shallow bed fluid treatment systems.

Serial Number: 09/769,881 Page 4

Art Unit: 1724

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to I. Cintins whose telephone number is (703) 308-3840. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

The fax phone numbers for this art unit are: (703) 872-9311 for "Official" faxes after Final Rejection; (703) 872-9310 for all other "Official" faxes; and (703) 872-9492 for "Draft" and other "Unofficial" faxes.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Ivars C. Cintins
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1724

I. Cintins August 24, 2002